CAPITAL PLAN LIST C – EVALUATIONS

	Project: Street Scene, Leisure & Technical Services: Shallows Bridge – Haysden Country Park							
	1	1 Specification:						
		(i)						
		scheme						
		(ii)	Relevance to	(a)	National:	N/A		
			National / Council's	(b)	Council:	Efficient services for all our residents, maintaining and effective Council.		
		/:::\	Objectives	(-)	0	and and and analysis and of the societies and action to other than		
		(iii)	Targets for judging	(a)		emoval and replacement of the existing pedestrian footbridge		
	2	Door	SUCCESS	(b) Project delivered within budget and timescale				
2 Description of Project / Design Issues								
	The project cost has been assessed by an external structural engineer and estimated to be in the region of £265k. A sum of £150 future S106 contributions has been identified to assist with funding this scheme. It is proposed that the scheme will be initially fur from the Revenue Reserve for Capital Schemes (RRCS). Upon occupation of the associated development, the S106 contribution be released and transferred to the RRCS, thereby replenishing the reserve and contributing towards the cost of the bridge works, approach will enable the project to proceed without delay, whilst ensuring that the earmarked S106 funding is applied to the scheme.							
	3 Milestones / Risks Risks Following the removal of the bridge there is potential for additional works to bring the bridge abutments up to a standard that allow them to be reused. This is not possible to assess until the existing bridge structure is removed. It may be necessary to review this project with Members in light of any unforeseen problems resulting in additional works. Potential for poor weather to slow/stop work on site along with the potential for flooding. The project design will need to be submitted to the Environment Agency for approval to obtain a Flood Risk Activity Permit for wornear and over the river. This process could require additional design work to be undertaken and delay the project.					until the existing bridge structure is removed. embers in light of any unforeseen problems resulting in additional works. te along with the potential for flooding. e Environment Agency for approval to obtain a Flood Risk Activity Permit for working		

CAPITAL PLAN LIST C – EVALUATIONS

4	Consultation: Initial consultation has been undertaken with the Environment Agency as this bridge is over 'main river' which will require a Flood Risk Activity Permit for working near and over the river. Consent has been granted to remove the existing structure. Fur consultation and liaison will be required.									
5	Capital Cost: The project cost has been assessed by an external structural engineer and estimated to be in the region of £265k. A sum of £153k in future S106 contributions has been identified to assist with funding this scheme. It is proposed that the scheme will be initially funded from the Revenue Reserve for Capital Schemes (RRCS). Upon occupation of the associated development, the S106 contributions will be released and transferred to the RRCS, thereby replenishing the reserve and offsetting the cost of the bridge works. This approach will enable the project to proceed without delay, whilst ensuring that the earmarked S106 funding is applied to the scheme.									
6	Profiling of Expenditure									
	2024/25 (£'000)	2025/26 (£'000)	2026/27 (£'000)	2027/28 (£	'000) 2028/29 (£'000)	2029/30 (£'000)				
7		£40	£225							
8	Capital Renewals Impact: Nil – the anticipated life of a new bridge would be iro 75 years Revenue Impact: 4% loss of income on capital sum will equate to £ 10,600 of lost interest.									
9										
10	Project Monitoring / Post Implementation Review: Post Implementation review to be carried out 12 months after completion.									
11a	Screening for equality impacts:									
	Question			Answer	Explanation of impacts					
		ause adverse impact or	ended through this paper discriminate against	No	The proposed replacement improvement in terms of ac					

CAPITAL PLAN LIST C – EVALUATIONS

	b. Does the decision being made or recommended through this paper make a positive contribution to promoting equality?	Yes	Current provision is not compliant in terms of accessibility so the proposed new structure will improve this to allow better access.				
	c. What steps are you taking to mitigate, reduce, avoid or minimise the impacts identified above?	N/A					
11b							
	Question	Answer	Explanation of impacts				
	a. Does the decision being made or recommended through this paper have a positive impact on reducing carbon emissions or enhancing the natural environment?	No	Any construction activity will create carbon emissions.				
	b. What steps are you taking to mitigate, reduce, avoid or minimise any negative or neutral impacts identified above?	Yes	Where possible we will look to make sure construction methodologies and materials are the most efficient in terms of climate change impact.				
12	Recommendation:						
	It is recommended that the scheme is fast-tracked and transferred directly onto List A of the Capital Plan.						